

1

CITY OF YORK
DEDS
- 9 OCT 2006
RECEIVED

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 September 2006 09:57
To: DNS Licensing Unit
Subject: NEXUS application Ref:CYC/012194

I am writing to express my strong objections to NEXUS's application for extended licensing hours to 03.00 Monday to Sunday.

117150

I am owner/occupier of a flat on Tanner Row, and like my neighbours, dread the weekend evenings and nights as the night clubs spill out their drunken clientele on the streets. The noise, abusive language and drunken quarelling is impossible to escape from, even though I have reinforced windows, installed because of the nuisance. Twice since I bought my flat in 1997, I have had windows smashed. It is also distressing to leave my flat on a bright morning only to find vomit on the streets and empty bottles on my window sills. The prospect of having this nuisance every night of the week is unthinkable.

The expansion of the night club culture in this lovely part of the city is in total conflict with the parallel development of several residential buildings on Tanner Row and vicinity in recent years.

I have asked Cllr. D. Merrett to represent me in my objections.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED SIGNATURE]

[REDACTED] Tanner Row Apartments
[REDACTED] Tanner Row,
YORK YO1 6JP

(Currently on a work assignment in Bosnia)

CITY OF YORK COUNCIL
APPLICATION ACKNOWLEDGED
DATE 12/10/06 [Signature]

2

Licensing & Regulatory Services
York City Council
9 St Leonard's Place
York YO1 7ET

[REDACTED] Micklegate
York YO1 6LJ
[REDACTED]

09.10.2006

Subject: CYC/01294 Nexus 25 Tanner Row

As a local resident I wish to object to the granting of a Premises License on the grounds of the increase in noise, public nuisance, litter, and antisocial behaviour that will surely occur with such a large expansion of these premises.

To treble the capacity of this club to 1700 in a small area of the city which already has four night-clubs and numerous bars would place an intolerable burden upon the local people.

The City Centre Policy Statement endorsed by the Micklegate Ward Committee and approved by the City of York on the 12th April 2005, identifies the area centred on George Hudson Street as requiring additional licensing controls due to the cumulative effect of the concentration of late night drink led, refreshment and entertainment premises.

I believe that this application is totally inconsistent with the City Centre Special Policy statement and should be refused.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Cc: Cllr. D. Merrett

RECEIVED
02 OCT 2006

Hampden St.,
Bishophill,
York
YO1 6EA
2 October 2006

To the Licensing Officer, City of York Council

I write to object to the recent application by McMillan York Ltd for a variation of their existing licence at 'Nexus', 25 Tanner Row (ref CYC/012194).

The grounds of my objection is that a variation along the lines proposed will inevitably lead to an increase in what is already an unacceptable level of late night disturbance caused to residents of Bishophill by people leaving bars and clubs, often drunk, who use this area as a through route to get home in the early hours of the morning. The problems associated with late night drinking in the city centre are well recognised but not enough attention has been given to the fall out suffered by those of us who live in adjacent residential areas. For, while there are CCTV cameras and a significant police presence close to the main drinking venues in the city centre itself, these facilities do not extent to residential areas such as Bishophill and this is one reason why problems are occurring here. Although it is not always possible to say with certainty where these nuisance makers are coming from, the fact that disturbance continues until 3 or 4 o'clock in the morning suggests that a proportion of them are coming out of clubs rather than pubs. In any case common sense dictates that a licence variation of the kind being applied for here is bound to exacerbate these problems. In my view this constitutes a public nuisance and therefore valid grounds for objection.

It is also a fact that the that streets in Bishophill have become a favourite night time parking place for clubbers, especially at weekends, who inevitably make noise when they set off home. So far as I know there is very limited provision for parking in the vicinity of Nexus, so we can expect an increase in this practice if this application is granted.

Speaking from personal experience, I can say there has already been an increase in disturbance (including noise and petty vandalism) during the early hours since late night extensions were brought in eleven months ago. In the case of the Nexus application, I note that the premises are within the 'Special Policy Area' declared by the Council and I hope that this will be fully taken into account in determining this application.

As I cannot be sure that I will be available on the day when the application is considered, I have asked one of my ward Councillors, David Merrett, to speak on my behalf.

Yours sincerely,

[Redacted signature]

CITY OF YORK COUNCIL
APPLICATION ACKNOWLEDGED
DATE: 3/10/06 LC

CITY OF YORK
DEDS

- 4 OCT 2006

RECEIVED

02 October 2006

Licensing Authority
York City Council
Environmental Protection & Street Services
9 St Leonard's Place
York
YO1 7ET

NL/NL/P18015-16298
Doc Ref: 2143051394

Email: n.landells@popall.co.uk

Direct line: 0115 934 9170

Dear Sirs

**Representations to application for new Premises Licence in respect of
Nexus, Tanner Row, York**

We act for Luminar North Limited the owners and operators of Tofts, Toft Green. Our client has instructed us to make representations to you in respect of the above application.

The grounds for the following representations:

1. The application is contrary to the licensing objectives (specifically the prevention of crime & disorder, public safety and the prevention of public nuisance) when consideration is given to the size, proposed change in operation of the venue and location of the premises.
2. The application is also contrary to paragraphs 6.1 and 6.2 of York City Council's Statement of Licensing Policy.

We wish to be afforded the opportunity of addressing the Licensing Authority directly in respect of the representations and should be grateful if you would notify us of the date for the hearing at the earliest practicable time.

Yours faithfully

Poppleston Allen

Partners · Jeremy Allen · James Anderson · Graeme Cushion · Susanna Poppleston · Lisa Sharkey · Jonathan Smith

37 Stoney Street, The Lace Market, Nottingham NG1 1LS
Telephone: 0115 953 8500 Fax: 0115 953 8501 DX 10100 Nottingham
www.popall.co.uk

5

Cockerill, Janice

From: Cllr. D. Merrett
Sent: 10 October 2006 13:58
To: Cockerill, Janice
Subject: FW: Licensing Register

My apologies. I thought John had written separately. Here's what he's asked me to object about:

Dave M.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
[mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: 27 September 2006 11:35
To: Cllr. D. Merrett
Subject: RE: Licensing Register

Hi Dave, thanks for forwarding this license application for Nexus.

I would be grateful if you would be prepared to represent Bishophill residents views in opposing this application on the grounds of the probability that granting the license would result in an increase in antisocial activities in the area in particular; litter from take-away fast-food shops, noisy drunken revelry, and use of areas around Skeldergate as a public toilet,

many thanks

[REDACTED]

Chair Bishophill Action Group